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ABSTRACT. Sufficient conditions for the asymptotic constancy of the solutions of impulsive delay differential system
\[
\begin{aligned}
x'(t) &= A(t) [x(t - \sigma) - x(t - \tau)] + f(t), 
t \geq t_0, 

\Delta x(t_i) &= B_i x(t_i) + D_i, 
i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
\]
are obtained. Moreover, as \( t \to \infty \), the limits of the solutions of the impulsive delay differential system with \( B_i = 0 \) are computed in terms of the initial function and a special matrix solution of the corresponding adjoint system.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we shall consider the nonhomogeneous linear impulsive delay differential system
\[
\begin{aligned}
x'(t) &= A(t) [x(t - \sigma) - x(t - \tau)] + f(t), 
t \geq t_0, 

\Delta x(t_i) &= B_i x(t_i) + D_i, 
i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( 0 \leq \sigma < \tau; 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_i < t_{i+1} < \cdots; \lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty; \Delta x(t_i) = x(t_i^+) - x(t_i^-), 

x(t_i^+) = \lim_{t \to t_i^+} x(t) 

\end{aligned}
\]
where \( R \) and \( R^n \) be the set of real numbers and the \( n \)-dimensional space of real column vectors, respectively. Let \( ||\cdot|| \) denote any convenient norm on \( R^n \) or the associated induced norm of a square matrix. We shall study system (1) together with the following hypotheses:

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(H1)] \( A : [t_0, \infty) \to R^{n \times n} \) is a continuous matrix function,
  \item[(H2)] \( f : [t_0, \infty) \to R^n \) is a continuous vector function,
  \item[(H3)] \( B_i \in R^{n \times n} \) such that \( \det(I + B_i) \neq 0 \), where \( I \) is the \( n \times n \) identity matrix, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \),
  \item[(H4)] \( D_i \in R^n, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots \)
\end{itemize}
We denote by $PC([t_0 - \tau, t_0], R)$ the set of piecewise continuous functions on $[t_0 - \tau, t_0]$.

**Definition 1.** For any real-valued piecewise continuous $n$-dimensional vector function \( \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \) where \( \phi_i \in PC([t_0 - \tau, t_0], R) \), \( x(t) = (x_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t)) \) is said to be a solution of (1) on \([t_0, \infty)\) and satisfies the initial condition \( x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \), if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \((B1)\) \( x(t) \) is absolutely continuous on each interval \((t_i, t_{i+1})\),
2. \((B2)\) for any \( t_i \in [t_0, \infty), i = 1, 2, \ldots, x(t_i^+) \) and \( x(t_i^-) \) exist and \( x(t_i^-) = x(t_i) \),
3. \((B3)\) \( x(t) \) satisfies (1) for almost everywhere in \([t_0, \infty)\) and at impulsive points \( t_i \) situated in \([t_0, \infty)\) may have discontinuity of the first kind.

**Definition 2.** We say a vector function is continuous (absolutely continuous) if all of its components are continuous (absolutely continuous).

Impulsive and delay differential equations are observed in many fields of science and technology such as biology, engineering and physics. Many dynamic population models are special cases of (1). For example, in [12], Cooke and Yorke proposed the scalar equation

\[ x'(t) = g(x(t)) - g(x(t - L)), \]

as a model for certain population growth if individuals have a constant life span \( L \), where \( x(t) \) is the size of the population at time \( t \) and \( g(x) \) is the birth rate. When \( g(x) = ax \) \( (a = \text{const.}) \), then the equation above reduces to (1) with \( n = 1, A(t) = a, \sigma = 0, \tau = L, \) and \( f(t) \equiv 0 \). Furthermore, the scalar linear delay differential equation of the type of (1)

\[ x'(t) = a(t) (x(t) - x(t - h)) \]

is a sort of pantograph equation which arises as a mathematical model of an industrial problem involving wave motion in the overhead supply line to an electrified railway system [17]. Also, system (1) with \( f \equiv 0 \) has an application in number theory [28].

On the other hand, there are many works on the theory of impulsive differential equations as well as on the theory of delay differential equations. The monographs [5, 31] are for the impulsive case, and the books of Gopalsamy [18], Gyori and Ladas [20], Hale [21], Kuang [24] are good sources for delay differential equations. But, the corresponding theory of impulsive delay differential equations (IDDE) has been less developed because of significant technical and theoretical difficulties. Only a few publications have been produced in the direction of IDDE. Existence and uniqueness results for IDDE are presented in [6, 16, 25]. Results on stability for IDDE are given in [1, 2, 10, 27, 32, 35]. Boundedness results for IDDE are established in [9, 26]. Oscillation theory for IDDE is investigated in [19, 33, 34, 38, 39]. Boundary value problems and existence of periodic solutions for IDDE are studied in [22, 36, 37].
Recently, the problem of the asymptotic constancy of solutions and asymptotic convergence of solutions of linear delay differential equations without impulses has been an extensive study [3, 4, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, 23, 29, 30] and the references cited therein. In all these works, authors generally consider the scalar linear equation with variable bounded delay of the form

\[ x'(t) = a(t) (x(t) - x(t - \tau(t))), \]

which is similar to (1). Moreover, in [8], Pituk and the first author have proved some results for the asymptotic constancy of the solutions of (1) without impulses.

So, due to practical reasons and the papers mentioned above, we have been motivated to deal with system (1) and study the problem of asymptotic constancy. According to the best of our knowledge, the asymptotic constancy for IDDE has not been studied till now.

The main purpose of this work is to give sufficient conditions for asymptotic constancy of (1) and also, as \( t \to \infty \), to compute the limits of the solutions of the impulsive delay differential system

\[ \begin{aligned}
    x'(t) &= A(t) [x(t - \sigma) - x(t - \tau)] + f(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \ t \neq t_i, \\
    \Delta x(t_i) &= D_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{aligned} \tag{2} \]

in terms of a special matrix solution of the corresponding adjoint system and the initial function

\[ x(t) = \phi(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0], \tag{3} \]

where \( \phi : [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous and \( \| \phi \|_r = \sup_{t_0 - r \leq t \leq t_0} \| \phi(t) \| \) for \( r \geq 0 \).

We can guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the initial value problem (1), (3) as showed in [1]. In fact, it is well known that under the above conditions the problem (1), (3) with \( B_i = D_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots \), has a unique solution \( x \) on \( [t_0 - \tau, \infty) \) [21]. This interval includes all of the intervals \( [t_i, t_{i+1}] \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), and so there is a unique solution of the initial value problem (1), (3). Moreover, we should note that a straightforward verification shows that the solution \( x(t) \) of the initial value problem (1), (3) satisfies the following integral equation

\[ \begin{aligned}
    x(t) &= \begin{cases} 
    \phi(t), & t_0 - \tau \leq t \leq t_0, \\
    x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} A(s)x(s - \sigma)ds - \int_{t_0}^{t} A(s)x(s - \tau)ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s)ds \\
    + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} B_i x(t_i) + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} D_i, & t \geq t_0.
    \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4} \]

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, the main results are presented. Section 3 contains the proof of our first main result. In Section 4, the proof of second main result is given. Finally, in Section 5, some remarks and examples are stated.
2. MAIN RESULTS

We can state our main results as follows.

**Theorem 1.** In addition to \((H1) - (H4)\), assume that

\begin{enumerate}[\textit{(i)}]
\item \(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|A(s)\| \, ds \leq K_1 < \infty\),
\item \(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|f(s)\| \, ds \leq K_2 < \infty\),
\item \(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \|B_i\|) \leq L_1 < \infty\),
\item \(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \|D_i\|) \leq L_2 < \infty\).
\end{enumerate}

Then the solution \(x(t)\) of \((1), (3)\) tends to a constant vector as \(t \to \infty\).

**Theorem 2.** Assume that all assumptions, except \((H3)\) and \((iii)\), of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Let \(x(t)\) be a solution of \((2)\)–\((3)\) and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = l(\phi)\).

If

\begin{enumerate}[\textit{(v)}]
\item \(\int_{t_0+\sigma}^{t+\tau} \|A(s)\| \, ds \leq \rho < 1\), \(t \geq t_0\),
\end{enumerate}

then

\[(5) \quad l(\phi) = Y(t_0)\phi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} Y(s)A(s)\phi(s - \tau) \, ds \]

\[+ \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)\phi(s - \sigma) \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s) \, ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i,\]

where \(Y\) is a special matrix solution of the integral equation

\[(6) \quad Y(t) = I + \int_{t+\sigma}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s) \, ds \text{ for } t \geq t_0.\]

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove this theorem we consider the following well known lemma. For the proof, see [5].

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that for \(t \geq t_0\) the inequality

\[u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^{t} b(s)u(s) \, ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq \tau_k \leq t} \beta_k u(\tau_k)\]

holds, where \(u(t) \in PC(R, R^+)\), \(b(t) \in PC(R, R^+)\) and \(\beta_k \geq 0, k \in N\) and \(c \geq 0\) are constants (Here, \(PC(R, R^+)\) denotes the set of functions \(\psi : R \to R^+\) which
are continuous for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), \( t \neq \tau_k \), are continuous from the left for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and have discontinuities of the first kind at the points \( \tau_k \in \mathbb{R} \).

Then for \( t \geq t_0 \)

\[
u(t) \leq c \prod_{t_0 \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + \beta_k) \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} b(s) ds \right).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let \( x(t) \) be the solution of (1), (3). Then from (4) it follows that

\[
\|x(t)\| \leq \|x(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \sigma)\| \|x(s)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \tau)\| \|x(s)\| ds
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} \|f(s)\| ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \|B_i\| \|x(t_i)\| + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \|D_i\|
\]

for \( t \geq t_0 \). This inequality can be written as below:

\[
\|x(t)\| \leq \|x(t_0)\| + \|\phi\|_{\sigma} \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \sigma)\| \|x(s)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \sigma)\| \|x(s)\| ds
\]

\[
+ \|\phi\|_{\tau} \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \tau)\| ds + \int_{t_0}^{t} \|A(s + \tau)\| \|x(s)\| ds
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} \|f(s)\| ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \|B_i\| \|x(t_i)\| + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \|D_i\|.
\]

From (i), (ii) and (iv), we find that

\[
\|x(t)\| \leq c + \int_{t_0}^{t} (\|A(s + \sigma)\| + \|A(s + \tau)\|) \|x(s)\| ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \|B_i\| \|x(t_i)\|
\]
for $t \geq t_0$, where $c = \|x(t_0)\| + K_1 \|\phi\| + K_1 \|\phi\| + K_2 + L_2$.

Applying Lemma 1,

$$\|x(t)\| \leq c \prod_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} (1 + \|B_i\|) \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} (\|A(s + \sigma)\| + \|A(s + \tau)\|) ds \right),$$

and using the conditions (i) and (iii), we have

$$\|x(t)\| \leq cL_1 e^{2K_1} = K, \text{ for } t \geq t_0.$$

Therefore the solution $x(t)$ is bounded for $t \geq t_0$ and so there is a positive constant $K', K' \geq K$, such that

$$(7) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq K' \text{ for } t \geq t_0 - \tau.$$

On the other hand, for $t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$

$$(8) \quad \|x(t) - x(s)\| \leq \int_{s}^{t} \|A(u)\| \|x(u - \sigma)\| du + \int_{s}^{t} \|A(u)\| \|x(u - \tau)\| du$$

$$\quad + \int_{s}^{t} \|f(u)\| du + \sum_{s \leq t_i < t} \|B_i\| \|x(t_i)\| + \sum_{s \leq t_i < t} \|D_i\|.$$

Now, using (7), (8) may be written as

$$(9) \quad \|x(t) - x(s)\| \leq 2K' \int_{s}^{\infty} \|A(u)\| \, du + \int_{s}^{\infty} \|f(u)\| \, du$$

$$\quad + K' \sum_{s \leq t_i} \|B_i\| + \sum_{s \leq t_i} \|D_i\|.$$

We note that because of (iii) and (iv), we have, respectively,

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|B_i\| < \infty,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|D_i\| < \infty.$$

From (9) and conditions (i), (ii), (10) and (11), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \|x(t) - x(s)\| = 0.$$

Hence the Cauchy convergence criterion implies the existence of $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. 
4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the method presented in [8]. Therefore, we first need to prove the following results.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose (H1) and (v) hold. Then there exists a unique continuous and bounded matrix function \( Y : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) such that (6) holds.

We omit the proof of this theorem because the equation (6) does not include any impulse, and so the proof of Theorem 3 is a repetition of the arguments in [8, Theorem 2].

Let us denote

\[
C(t) = Y(t)x(t) - \int_{t_0}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\tau)ds + \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\sigma)ds \text{ for } t \geq t_0,
\]

where \( Y \) has the meaning from Theorem 3 and \( x \) is the solution of (2), (3).

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that (H1), (H2), (H4) and (v) hold. Then

\[
C(t) = C(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Y(s)f(s)ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} Y(t_i)D_i \text{ for } t \geq t_0.
\]

**Proof.** First, we should prove that \( C(t) \) defined by (12) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
C'(t) = Y(t)f(t), & t \geq t_0, \quad t \neq t_i, \\
\Delta C(t_i) = Y(t_i)D_i, & i = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{cases}
\]

Because of Theorem 3, we know that the special matrix solution \( Y \) of (6) is differentiable on \([t_0, \infty)\) and satisfies the “adjoint system”

\[
Y'(t) = Y(t+\tau)A(t+\tau) - Y(t+\sigma)A(t+\sigma)
\]

for \( t \geq t_0 \).

Differentiating (12) for \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( t \neq t_i \), we get

\[
C'(t) = Y'(t)x(t) + Y(t)x'(t) - Y(t+\tau)A(t+\tau)x(t)
\]

\[
+ Y(t)A(t)x(t-\tau) + Y(t+\sigma)A(t+\sigma)x(t) - Y(t)A(t)x(t-\sigma).
\]

Using (2) and (15), Equation (16) implies that

\[
C'(t) = Y(t)f(t) \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \text{ and } t \neq t_i.
\]

Moreover, from (12),

\[
\Delta C(t_i) = C(t_i^+) - C(t_i^-) = Y(t_i)\Delta x(t_i)
\]
which completes the proof of (14).

Integrating both sides of (14) with respect to \( s \) from \( t_0 \) to \( t \), we obtain

\[
C(t) = C(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Y(s)f(s)ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} \Delta C(t_i)
\]

for \( t \geq t_0 \) which implies (13).

We are now ready to prove the second main result.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let \( x(t) \) be the solution of equation (2), (3). It is sufficient to show that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = C(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i
\]

where \( C \) is defined by (12). We have for \( t \geq t_0 \),

\[
x(t) - C(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \\
= x(t) - \left[ C(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Y(s)f(s)ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i < t} Y(t_i)D_i \right] \\
- \int_{t}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \\
= x(t) - C(t) - \int_{t}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i.
\]

The last equality is a consequence of (13). This, together with (12), implies for \( t \geq t_0 \),

\[
x(t) - C(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \\
= x(t) - Y(t)x(t) + \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\tau)ds \\
- \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\sigma)ds - \int_{t}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds \\
- \sum_{t \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i.
\]

Multiplying (6) by \( x(t) \), we obtain for \( t \geq t_0 \),

\[
x(t) = Y(t)x(t) - \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(t)ds
\]
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\[ Y(t)x(t) = \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(s)ds + \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)x(t)ds. \]

Substituting the last expression into (18), we find for \( t \geq t_0 \),

(19) \[ x(t) - C(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \]

\[ = - \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(t)ds + \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)x(t)ds \]
\[ + \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\tau)ds - \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)x(s-\sigma)ds \]
\[ - \int_{t}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \]
\[ = \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Y(s)A(s)[x(s-\tau) - x(t)]ds \]
\[ + \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Y(s)A(s)[x(t) - x(s-\sigma)]ds \]
\[ - \int_{t}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i. \]

From (19) together with the estimate (7) and the boundedness of \( Y(t) \) on \([t_0, \infty)\), we have

\[ \left\| x(t) - C(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Y(s)f(s)ds - \sum_{t_0 \leq t_i} Y(t_i)D_i \right\| \]

\[ \leq 2K'\|Y\|_{B} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} \|A(s)\|ds + 2K'\|Y\|_{B} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} \|A(s)\|ds \]
\[ + \|Y\|_{B} \int_{t}^{\infty} \|f(s)\|ds + \|Y\|_{B} \sum_{t \leq t_i} \|D_i\| \]

for \( t \geq t_0 \), where \( \|Y\|_{B} = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \|Y(t)\| \). Hence it follows that (17) is correct.

Taking into account (3) and (12), it is easily verified that the limit relation (17) reduce to (5). So the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.
5. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

**Remark 1.** Our technique for the proof of Theorem 1 is different from that in [8, Theorem 1]. Because the technique used there did not work for IDDE. So, we first had to establish the boundedness of \( x(t) \), and then we followed the Cauchy convergence criterion to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 2.** Our method for the proof of Theorem 2 is a modification of that in [8, Theorem 3]. However, there is a significant difference between the two proofs. As a matter of the fact that our proof is based on the boundedness of \( x(t) \) instead of the convergence of \( \int_{t_0}^\infty |x'(t)| \, dt \) used in [8, Theorem 3].

**Remark 3.** We proved Theorem 1 for the impulse conditions
\[
\Delta x(t_i) = B_i x(t_i) + D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
that are considered as general case for linear impulsive delay differential equations [see 19, 33, 38, 39]. But, we could managed to prove Theorem 2 only for the impulse conditions
\[
\Delta x(t_i) = D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
which are equal to
\[
x(t_i^+) = x(t_i^-) + D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
and these type of conditions are formally similar to \( x(t_i^+) = B_i x(t_i^-) \) used in [1, 9].

**Example 1.** Let us consider the scalar initial value problem without impulses
\[
\begin{align*}
x'(t) &= A(t)[x(t) - x(t - 1)] + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\
x(t) &= e^{-t}, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 0,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( A(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + t)^2}, f(t) = \left( -1 - \frac{1}{(1 + t)^2} + \frac{e}{(1 + t)^2} \right) e^{-t}. \)

This problem satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 1 and 2 with \( B_i = 0 \) and \( D_i = 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \). So, the solution \( x(t) \) of (20) tends to a real constant as \( t \to \infty \) and this limit, say \( l(\phi) \), can be calculated with subject to (5) as
\[
l(\phi) = Y(0) - \int_0^1 Y(s)A(s)e^{-(s-1)}ds + \int_0^\infty Y(s)f(s)ds
\]
where \( Y(t) \), by (6), satisfies the following scalar integral equation
\[
Y(t) = 1 + \int_t^{t+1} Y(s)A(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
\]
On the other hand, the solution of (20) is \( x(t) = e^{-t} \) for \(-1 \leq t < \infty \) and \( l(\phi) = \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0. \)
Example 2. Again, consider Eq. (20) with impulses as follows
\[
\begin{aligned}
    x'(t) &= A(t)[x(t) - x(t - 1)] + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_i = i, \\
    \Delta x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \\
    x(t) &= e^{-t}, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
\] (23)

Here, also, all hypotheses of Theorem 1 and 2 are satisfied for impulsive delay differential equation (23). Therefore, due to Theorem 1, the solution \( x(t) \) of (23) has a limit, that is, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = l(\phi) \in R \). From Theorem 2, it is clear that
\[
l(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} Y(i)
\]
where \( Y(t) \) is the same as (22).

To calculate \( l(\phi) \) explicitly from (5) and (6), we may consider the following example.

Example 3. If we take, \( n = 1, A(t) = 0, f(t) = 0, B_i = 0, D_i = \frac{1}{2^i} (i = 1, 2, \ldots) \), then system (1) reduces to the scalar equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
    x'(t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_i = i, \\
    \Delta x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
\] (24)

Here, in place of initial function (3), we take into account the initial condition
\[
x(0) = 1.
\] (25)

Clearly, Theorems 1 and 2 can be applied to the problem (24)–(25). From (5) and (6), the limit of the solution \( x(t) \) of (24)–(25) is calculated as
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = l(\phi) = 2.
\]

Indeed, the solution \( x(t) \) is obtained as
\[
x(t) = \begin{cases} 
    1, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\
    \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{1}{2^m}, & k < t \leq k + 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m}.
\]

We note that, in the case of without impulses, the problem (24)–(25) reduces to
\[
\begin{aligned}
    x'(t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\
    x(0) &= 1
\end{aligned}
\] (26)

which has the solution \( x(t) = 1 \) on \([0, \infty)\). For this solution \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 1 \) which also can be found by applying (6) and (5) with \( D_i = 0 \).
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