RIGIDITY OF HOLOMORPHIC GENERATORS AND ONE-PARAMETER SEMIGROUPS

MARK ELIN, MARINA LEVENSHTEIN, DAVID SHOIKHET, AND ROBERTO TAURASO

Department of Mathematics, ORT Braude College, P.O. Box 78, Karmiel 21982, Israel (mark.elin@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, The Technion — Israel Institute of Technology 32000 Haifa, Israel (marlev@list.ru)

Department of Mathematics, ORT Braude College, P.O. Box 78, Karmiel 21982, Israel (davs27@netvision.net.il)

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma 'Tor Vergata', Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy (tauraso@mat.uniroma2.it)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a rigidity property of holomorphic generators by using their local behavior at a boundary point τ of the open unit disk Δ . Namely, if $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ is the generator of a one-parameter continuous semigroup $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, we show that the equality $f(z) = o\left(|z-\tau|^3\right)$ when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at τ implies that f vanishes identically on Δ . Note, that if F is a self-mapping of Δ then f = I - F is a generator, so our result extends the boundary version of the Schwarz Lemma obtained by D. Burns and S. Krantz. We also prove that two semigroups $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, with generators f and g respectively, commute if and only if the equality $f = \alpha g$ holds for some complex constant α . This fact gives simple conditions on the generators of two commuting semigroups at their common null point τ under which the semigroups coincide identically on Δ .

Keywords. holomorphic generators, boundary Schwarz Lemma, commuting family

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification. 30D05, 32H12, 47B33, 47H20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ be the right half-plane. We denote by $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, D)$ the set of all holomorphic functions on Δ which map Δ into a set $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, and by $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$ the set of all holomorphic self-mappings of Δ , i.e., $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \Delta)$.

The problem of finding conditions for a holomorphic function F to coincide identically with a given holomorphic function G when they have a similar behavior on some subset of $\overline{\Delta}$, has been studied by many mathematicians.

The following assertions are classical:

- If F and G are holomorphic in Δ and F = G on a subset of Δ that has a nonisolated point, then $F \equiv G$ on Δ (Vitali's uniqueness principle).
- If F and G are holomorphic in Δ and continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$, and F = G on some arc γ of the boundary $\partial \Delta$, then $F \equiv G$ on Δ .

From the point of view of complex dynamics it is natural to study conditions on derivatives of F and G at specific points to conclude that $F \equiv G$.

If, for example, G is the identity mapping I and $\tau \in \Delta$ is the Denjoy–Wolff point of $F \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$, then the equalities $F(\tau) = G(\tau)$ and $F'(\tau) = G'(\tau)$ imply $F \equiv G$ by the Schwarz Lemma. The same conclusion holds for an arbitrary holomorphic function G on Δ , if F commutes with G and satisfies the conditions $F(\tau) = G(\tau) = \tau$ and $F'(\tau) = G'(\tau) \neq 0$ (see, for instance, [10], [7]).

Different "identity principles" have recently been studied by several mathematicians under suitable boundary conditions. In general, the following three cases are considered.

- (A) G is the identity mapping;
- (B) G is an arbitrary self-mapping of Δ , and F commutes with G, i.e., $F \circ G = G \circ F$;
 - (C) G is a constant mapping.

Regarding Case A the following result is due to D. Burns and S. Krantz.

Theorem A ([8]). Let $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta)$ and

(1)
$$F(z) = 1 + (z - 1) + O((z - 1)^4).$$

Then $F \equiv I$.

For Case B a uniqueness theorem was given by R. Tauraso in [19] (see also [7]). To formulate this result we need the following notation. Let $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta)$ and $\tau \in \partial \Delta$. We say that $F \in C_K^m(\tau)$ if it admits the following representation

$$F(z) = \tau + F'(\tau)(z - \tau) + \dots + \frac{F^{(m)}(\tau)}{m!}(z - \tau)^m + o(|z - \tau|^m)$$

when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at τ , $z \in D_{\alpha}(\tau) := \{z : |z - \tau| < \frac{\alpha}{2}(1 - |z|^2)\}$, $\alpha > 1$, (sometimes this domain is referred the Koranyi domain). Moreover, we say that $F \in C^m(\tau)$ if the limit is taken in the full disk.

Theorem B ([19]). Let $F, G \in \text{Hol}(\Delta)$ be commuting functions with a common Denjoy-Wolff point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$. If one of the following conditions holds then $F \equiv G$.

- (i) $F'(\tau) = G'(\tau) < 1$;
- (ii) $F \in C^2(\tau)$, $G \in C_K^2(\tau)$, $F'(\tau) = 1$, $F''(\tau) = G''(\tau) \neq 0$ and $\text{Re } \tau F''(\tau) > 0$;
- (iii) $F, G \in C^2(\tau), F'(\tau) = 1, F''(\tau) = G''(\tau) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \tau F''(\tau) = 0;$

(iv)
$$F \in C^3(\tau)$$
, $G \in C_K^3(\tau)$, $F'(\tau) = 1$, $F''(\tau) = G''(\tau) = 0$ and $F'''(\tau) = G'''(\tau)$.

For Case C, when G is a constant mapping, the following fact is an immediate consequence of the Julia–Wolff–Carathéodory Theorem.

• If $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \overline{\Delta})$, then the conditions $\lim_{r \to 1^-} F(r\tau) = \tau$ and $\lim_{r \to 1^-} F'(r\tau) = 0$ for some $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ imply that $F \equiv \tau$.

In fact, the consideration of holomorphic functions f which are not necessarily self-mappings is more relevant in this situation. Various results in this direction were established by S. Migliorini and F. Vlacci in [14].

In what follows we denote by symbol $\angle \lim_{z \to \tau}$ the angular limit of a function defined in Δ at a boundary point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$.

Theorem C (see [14]). Let $\tau \in \partial \Delta$.

If $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \overline{H})$, then

(2)
$$\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{z - \tau} = 0$$

implies that $f \equiv 0$.

More general, if $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, and $f(\Delta)$ is contained in a wedge of angle $\pi \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, with vertex at the origin, then the condition

(3)
$$\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{(z - \tau)^{\alpha}} = 0$$

implies that $f \equiv 0$.

Although the classes $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$ of holomorphic self-mappings of Δ and $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, H)$ of functions with positive real part are connected by the composition with the Cayley transform, Theorem A is not a direct consequence of Theorem C, and conversely.

In this note we find rigidity principles for some classes of holomorphic functions produced by continuous dynamical systems, which are related to both $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta,H)$. In particular, by this way one can establish a bridge between Theorems A and C.

We consider, inter alia, the class of mappings $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ which are continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and satisfy the boundary flow-invariance condition

(4)
$$\operatorname{Re} F(z)\overline{z} \leq 1, \ z \in \partial \Delta.$$

In particular, each function $F \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$ which is continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ belongs to this class.

Condition (4) can be rewritten in the form

(5)
$$\operatorname{Re} f(z)\overline{z} \ge 0, z \in \partial \Delta,$$

254

where

$$(6) f(z) = z - F(z).$$

Note that each mapping f satisfying (5) belongs to the class $\mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ of so-called semigroup generators on Δ (see Corollary 4.5 in [15] or Condition (3), p. 41 in [4]).

Our main purpose is to establish boundary conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ to vanish on Δ identically.

First, we recall that a family $S = \{F_t\}_{t\geq 0} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$ is said to be a one-parameter continuous semigroup on Δ if

- (i) $F_t(F_s(z)) = F_{t+s}(z)$ for all $t, s \ge 0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{t\to 0^+} F_t(z) = z$ for all $z \in \Delta$.

Furthermore, it follows from a result of E. Berkson and H. Porta [6] that each continuous semigroup is differentiable in $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$, (see also [1] and [16]). So, for each continuous semigroup $S = \{F_t\}_{t>0} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta)$, the limit

(7)
$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{z - F_t(z)}{t} = f(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

exists and defines a holomorphic mapping $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$. This mapping f is called the **(infinitesimal) generator of** $S = \{F_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, the function u(=u(t,z)), $(t,z) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Delta$, defined by $u(t,z) = F_t(z)$ is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem

(8)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,z)}{\partial t} + f(u(t,z)) = 0, \\ u(0,z) = z, \quad z \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

The class of all holomorphic generators on Δ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(\Delta)$.

Note, that if $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta)$, then the function f = I - F belongs to $\mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ (see Proposition 4.3 in [15] and Corollary 3.3.1 in [17]).

The following assertion combines characterizations of the class $\mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ obtained in [2], [3] and [6].

Proposition 1. Let $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) f is a semigroup generator on Δ ;
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re} f(z)\overline{z} \ge \operatorname{Re} f(0)\overline{z} (1-|z|^2)$ for all $z \in \Delta$;
- (iii) there exists a unique point $\tau \in \overline{\Delta}$ such that

(9)
$$f(z) = (z - \tau)(1 - \overline{\tau}z)g(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $g \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{Re } g(z) \ge 0$.

(iv) f admits the representation

$$f(z) = a - \overline{a}z^2 + zp(z),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ with $\text{Re } p(z) \geq 0$.

Remark 1. The point τ in (9) is the Denjoy–Wolff point of the semigroup $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by f. If $\tau \in \Delta$ then f(0) = 0 and $\operatorname{Re} f'(\tau) \geq 0$. If $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ then the angular limit $\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{z - \tau} =: f'(\tau)$ exists and is a nonnegative real number (see [11]).

2. RIGIDITY OF INFINITESIMAL GENERATORS

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$. Suppose that for some $\tau \in \partial \Delta$

$$f(z) = a(z - \tau)^3 + o(|z - \tau|^3)$$

when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at τ . Then $a\tau^2$ is a nonnegative real number. Moreover, a = 0 if and only if $f \equiv 0$.

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $g \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \overline{H})$. Then for each $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ the limit

(10)
$$k = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{g(z)}{1 - \overline{\tau}z}$$

is either a nonnegative real number or infinity. Moreover, $g \equiv 0$ if and only if k = 0.

Proof. Denote by $C_{\tau}(z) = \frac{\tau - z}{\tau + z}$ the Cayley transform and set $h = C_{\tau}^{-1} \circ g \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \overline{\Delta})$. By the Julia–Wolff–Carathéodory theorem the limit

$$\beta_h = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{\tau - h(z)}{\tau - z}$$

exists and is either a nonnegative real number or infinity. Moreover, $\beta_h = 0$ if and only if $h \equiv \tau$.

For any $z \in \Delta$ we have

(11)
$$\frac{g(z)}{1-\overline{\tau}z} = \frac{\tau - h(z)}{\tau - z} \cdot \frac{\tau}{\tau + h(z)}.$$

Hence, k = 0 if and only if $\beta_h = 0$, and therefore $g \equiv 0$.

If β_h is a positive real number, $\beta_h > 0$, then $\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} h(z) = \tau$ and, consequently,

$$k = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{\tau - h(z)}{\tau - z} \cdot \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{\tau}{\tau + h(z)} = \frac{\beta_h}{2} > 0.$$

Let $\beta_h = \infty$. Since Re $\frac{\tau}{\tau + h(z)} \ge \frac{1}{2}$, formula (11) implies that $k = \infty$.

Alternative proof. If $g \neq 0$, then the function p defined by $p(z) := \frac{1}{g(z)}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, H)$. It is easy to see that for all $\zeta \in \partial \Delta$ the expression $\frac{(1-z\overline{\tau})(1+z\overline{\zeta})}{1-z\overline{\zeta}}$ is bounded on each non-tangential approach region at τ . Then it follows by the Riesz-Herglotz formula that

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} (1 - z\overline{\tau}) p(z) = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \oint_{\partial \Lambda} \frac{(1 - z\overline{\tau})(1 + z\overline{\zeta})}{1 - z\overline{\zeta}} dm_p(\zeta) = 2m_p(\tau) \ge 0,$$

where dm_p is a probability measure on $\partial \Delta$. Setting $k = \frac{1}{2m_p(\tau)}$ we get our assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Since

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{z - \tau} = 0,$$

it follows from [11] that $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point for the semigroup $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by f. Then by Proposition 1 the function f admits the representation (9):

$$f(z) = (z - \tau)(1 - z\overline{\tau})g(z)$$

with some $g \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \overline{H})$. Hence, by Lemma 1

$$a\tau^{2} = \tau^{2} \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{(z - \tau)^{3}} = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{g(z)}{1 - \overline{\tau}z} = k \ge 0.$$

Obviously, a=0 if and only if k=0. In this case $g\equiv 0$, so $f\equiv 0$. \square

Corollary 1 (cf. Theorem 5 in [7]). Let $F \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ be continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and satisfy the boundary condition

$$\operatorname{Re} F(z)\overline{z} \leq 1, z \in \partial \Delta.$$

If F admits the representation

$$F(z) = \tau + (z - \tau) + b(z - \tau)^{3} + o(|z - \tau|^{3})$$

when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at some point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$, then $b\tau^2 \leq 0$. Moreover, b = 0 if and only if $F \equiv I$.

As a consequence of Lemma 1 we also obtain the following assertion.

Corollary 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ be such that $f(\tau) = 0$ for some $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ and $f(0) = a \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that f has a finite angular derivative at τ . Then $f'(\tau)$ is a real number with $f'(\tau) \leq -2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a}\tau)$. Moreover, $f'(\tau) = -2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a}\tau)$ if and only if f generates a group of automorphisms.

Proof. By Proposition 1 (iv) f admits the representation

(12)
$$f(z) = a - \overline{a}z^2 + zp(z), \ z \in \Delta,$$

where $p \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ with $\text{Re } p(z) \geq 0$.

Since $f(\tau) = 0$, we have $p(\tau) = \overline{a}\tau - a\overline{\tau} = 2i\operatorname{Im}(\overline{a}\tau)$ is pure imaginary.

Then it follows from (12), that

$$f'(\tau) = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{a - \overline{a}z^2 + zp(z)}{z - \tau} = -2\operatorname{Re}(a\overline{\tau}) + \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{p(z) - 2i\operatorname{Im}(\overline{a}\tau)}{z\overline{\tau} - 1}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the function $g(z) = p(z) - 2i \operatorname{Im}(\overline{a}\tau)$, we get $f'(\tau) \leq -2 \operatorname{Re}(a\overline{\tau})$.

Moreover, $f'(\tau) = -2\operatorname{Re}(a\overline{\tau})$ if and only if $p \equiv 2i\operatorname{Im}(\overline{a}\tau)$, i.e., $f(z) = a + 2i\operatorname{Im}(\overline{a}\tau) \cdot z - \overline{a}z^2$.

By Proposition 3.5.1 in [17] (see also Remark 2 in [4]) each function of the form $f(z) = a + ibz - \overline{a}z^2$, with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, generates a group of automorphisms of Δ . The proof is complete.

Corollary 3. Let $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta)$ be such that $F(\tau) = \tau$ and F(0) = a, $a \in \Delta$. Suppose that F has a finite angular derivative at τ . Then $F'(\tau) \ge 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a}\tau)$.

Proof. By a result in [15, Proposition 4.3] (see also [17, Corollary 3.3.1]) the function f(z) = z - F(z), $z \in \Delta$ is a generator of a one-parameter semigroup. By our assumptions we have $f(\tau) = 0$ and f(0) = -a. Hence, by Corollary 2 $f'(\tau) \le -2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a}\tau)$, and $F'(\tau) \ge 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a}\tau)$.

Now let us consider the class of functions $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ which are continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and satisfy the boundary condition

(13)
$$\operatorname{Re} f(z)\overline{z} \ge |f(z)| \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \partial \Delta,$$

for some $\alpha \in (0,2]$. As we already mentioned if $\alpha \leq 1$ then condition (13) implies $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ (cf. Proposition 1 (ii)). Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ is continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$, then (13) holds with $\alpha = 1$. So, this class generalizes in a sense the class of holomorphic generators which are continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ be continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and satisfy the condition (13). Then the condition

(14)
$$\lim_{\substack{z \to \tau \\ z \in \overline{\Delta}}} \frac{f(z)}{(z - \tau)^{2 + \alpha}} = 0 \quad \text{for some} \quad \tau \in \partial \Delta$$

implies that $f \equiv 0$.

Proof. Denote

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z - \tau)(1 - \overline{\tau}z)}.$$

The continuity of f and (14) imply that this function is continuous (consequently, bounded) on $\overline{\Delta}$.

Now we rewrite (13) in the form:

$$-\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\tau}(\tau-z)^2g(z)\overline{z}\right] \ge |\tau-z|^2 \cdot |g(z)| \cdot \cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \partial\Delta.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Re} g(z) \ge |g(z)| \cdot \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad z \in \partial \Delta \setminus \{\tau\}.$$

This inequality also holds at the point τ because of the continuity of g.

It follows from the subordination principle for subharmonic functions (see, for example, [12, p. 396]) that the latter inequality holds for all $z \in \overline{\Delta}$. Geometrically this fact means that g maps Δ into the sector \overline{A}_{α} , where

$$A_{\alpha} = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg w| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \ \alpha \in (0, 2] \right\}.$$

Suppose that there exists $z \in \Delta$ such that $w = g(z) \in \partial A_{\alpha}$. Then by the maximum principle $g \equiv \text{const} = w$ and $f(z) = w\tau(z - \tau)^2$. In this case w must be zero, since otherwise we get a contradiction with (14). Hence, either w = 0 or $g(\Delta) \subset A_{\alpha}$.

If w = 0 then $f \equiv 0$ and we are done.

Let now $g(\Delta) \subset A_{\alpha}$. Equality (14) implies that

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{g(z)}{(z - \tau)^{\alpha}} = -\tau \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} \frac{f(z)}{(z - \tau)^{2 + \alpha}} = 0.$$

Applying Theorem C we get $g \equiv 0$, hence $f \equiv 0$.

Corollary 4. Let $F \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ be continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and satisfy the boundary condition

(15)
$$\operatorname{Re} F(z)\overline{z} \le 1 - |F(z) - z| \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad z \in \partial \Delta,$$

for some $\alpha \in (0,2]$. If there exists $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ such that

$$F(z) = \tau + (z - \tau) + o(|z - \tau|^{2+\alpha})$$

when $z \to \tau$, then $F \equiv I$.

3. COMMUTING SEMIGROUPS

Theorem 3. Let f and g be generators of one-parameter commuting semigroups $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, respectively, and $f(\tau)=0$ at some point $\tau\in\overline{\Delta}$.

- (i) Let $\tau \in \Delta$. If $f'(\tau) = g'(\tau)$ then $f \equiv g$.
- (ii) Let $\tau \in \partial \Delta$. Suppose f and g admit the following representations:

(16)
$$f(z) = f'(\tau)(z - \tau) + \ldots + \frac{f^{(m)}(\tau)}{m!}(z - \tau)^m + o(|z - \tau|^m)$$

and

(17)
$$g(z) = g(\tau) + g'(\tau)(z - \tau) + \ldots + \frac{g^{(m)}(\tau)}{m!}(z - \tau)^m + o(|z - \tau|^m)$$

when $z \to \tau$ along some curve lying in Δ and ending at τ . If $f^{(m)}(\tau) = g^{(m)}(\tau) \neq 0$, then $f \equiv g$.

Remark 2. If $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of a semigroup generated by a mapping $h \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$, then h admits the expansion

$$h(z) = h'(\tau)(z - \tau) + o(z - \tau)$$

when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at τ and $h'(\tau) = \angle \lim_{z \to \tau} h'(z)$. Moreover, in this case $h'(\tau)$ is a non-negative real number which is zero if and only if h generates a semigroup of parabolic type (see [11]).

Therefore, if f (or g) in Theorem 3 generates a semigroup of hyperbolic type with the Denjoy–Wolff point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ then the condition $f'(\tau) = g'(\tau)$ is enough to provide that $f \equiv g$.

Remark 3. As a matter of fact, if f and g have expansion (16) and (17) when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ up to the third order m = 3, such that $f'(\tau) = g'(\tau)$, $f''(\tau) = g''(\tau)$ and $f'''(\tau) = g'''(\tau)$ then $f \equiv g$.

If, in particular, $f^{(i)}(\tau) = g^{(i)}(\tau) = 0$, i = 1, 2, 3, then both f and g equal zero identically by Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 is a consequence of the following more general assertion.

Define two linear semigroups $\{A_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ of composition operators on $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta,\mathbb{C})$ by

(18)
$$A_t(h) = h \circ F_t \quad \text{and} \quad B_t(h) = h \circ G_t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

The operators Γ_f and Γ_g defined by

(19)
$$\Gamma_f(h) = h'f \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_g(h) = h'g$$

are their generators, respectively.

Theorem 4. Let f and $g \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ be generators of one-parameter semigroups $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, respectively. Let A_t and B_t be defined by (18). Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $F_t \circ G_s = G_s \circ F_t$, $s, t \geq 0$, i.e., the semigroups $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are commuting:
- (ii) $A_t \circ B_s = B_s \circ A_t$, $s, t \geq 0$, i.e., the linear semigroups $\{A_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are commuting:
- (iii) $\Gamma_f \circ \Gamma_g = \Gamma_g \circ \Gamma_f$, i.e., the linear semigroup generators Γ_f and Γ_g are commuting;
- (iv) the Lie commutator

$$[f,g] = f'g - g'f = 0;$$

(v) $f = \alpha g \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$

Proof. Suppose that $f \not\equiv 0$. First we prove the equivalence of assertions (i) and (v).

Let (i) holds. If $f(\tau) = 0$, $\tau \in \Delta$, then τ is the unique common fixed point for the semigroup $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by f, i.e., $F_t(\tau) = \tau$ for all $t \geq 0$ (see, for example, [6], [17]).

If F_t and G_s are commuting for all $s, t \geq 0$, then we have

$$G_s(\tau) = G_s(F_t(\tau)) = F_t(G_s(\tau)).$$

Hence, it follows by the uniqueness of the fixed point τ that $G_s(\tau) = \tau$ for all $s \ge 0$, and so $g(\tau) = 0$.

Consider the function $h \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ defined by the differential equation

(20)
$$\mu h(z) = h'(z)f(z).$$

It is known that if $\mu = f'(\tau)$ then equation (20) has a unique solution $h \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ normalized by the condition $h'(\tau) = 1$ (see [17]).

In addition, this function h solves Schroeder's functional equation

(21)
$$h(F_t(z)) = e^{-\mu t} h(z).$$

Now, for any $s, t \ge 0$ we get from (21)

$$h(G_s(F_t(z))) = h(F_t(G_s(z))) = e^{-\mu t} h(G_s(z)).$$

Denote $h_s = h \circ G_s$. Then we have

(22)
$$h_s(F_t(z)) = e^{-\mu t} h_s(z).$$

Differentiating (22) at $t = 0^+$ we get

(23)
$$\mu h_s(z) = h'_s(z)f(z).$$

Comparing (20) and (23) implies $h_s(z) = \lambda(s)h(z)$ for some $\lambda(s) \in \mathbb{C}$, or

(24)
$$h(G_s(z)) = \lambda(s)h(z).$$

Since the left-hand side of the latter equality is differentiable in $s \ge 0$, the scalar function $\lambda(s)$ is differentiable too. Differentiating (24) at $s = 0^+$ we get

(25)
$$\lambda'(0)h(z) = -h'(z)g(z).$$

Note that $h(\tau) = 0$ while $h(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$, $z \neq \tau$. In addition, it can be shown (see [17]) that h is univalent. Hence, $h'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$.

Finally, we obtain from (20) and (25) that

$$f(z) = \alpha g(z)$$
, where $\alpha = -\frac{\mu}{\lambda'(0)}$.

Now, let us suppose that f has no null point in Δ . Then the function $p:\Delta\mapsto\mathbb{C}$ given by

(26)
$$p(z) = -\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d\varsigma}{f(\varsigma)}$$

is a well defined holomorphic function on Δ with p(0) = 0.

Recall that the semigroup $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by f can be defined by the Cauchy problem

(27)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dF_t(z)}{dt} + f(F_t(z)) = 0, \ t \ge 0\\ F_0(z) = z, \quad z \in \Delta \end{cases}$$

Substituting here $f(z) = -\frac{1}{p'(z)}$ we obtain

$$p'(F_t(z)) dF_t(z) = dt.$$

Integrating the latter equality on the interval [0, t] we get that p is a solution of Abel's functional equation

$$(28) p(F_t(z)) = p(z) + t.$$

Now, for any fixed $s \geq 0$ we have

$$p(G_s(F_t(z))) = p(F_t(G_s(z))) = p(G_s(z)) + t.$$

Once again, setting $p_s = p \circ G_s$, we have

$$(29) p_s(F_t(z)) = p_s(z) + t.$$

Differentiating (29) at $t = 0^+$ we get

(30)
$$p_s'(z) = -\frac{1}{f(z)},$$

and by (26), $p_s(z) = p(z) + \kappa(s)$, $\kappa(s) \in \mathbb{C}$, or

(31)
$$p(G_s(z)) = p(z) + \kappa(s).$$

Differentiating (31) at $s = 0^+$ we obtain the equality

(32)
$$p'(z) = -\frac{\kappa'(0)}{g(z)}.$$

Comparing (30) and (32) gives

(33)
$$f = \alpha g \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\kappa'(0)}.$$

Now we prove that $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let $f = \alpha g$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

First we assume that g has an interior null-point $\tau \in \Delta$. In this case there is a univalent solution of the differential equation

(34)
$$\mu h(z) = h'(z)g(z)$$

with some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, Re $\mu \geq 0$.

Since $f = \alpha g$, we have that h is also a solution of the equation

(35)
$$\nu h(z) = h'(z)f(z), \quad \nu = \alpha \mu.$$

In turn, equations (34) and (35) are equivalent to Schroeder's functional equations

(36)
$$h(G_s(z)) = e^{-\mu s} h(z), \quad s \ge 0$$

and

(37)
$$h(F_t(z)) = e^{-\nu t}h(z), \quad t \ge 0, \quad \nu = \alpha \mu,$$

respectively, where $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the semigroup generated by f.

Consequently,

$$F_t(G_s(z)) = h^{-1} \left(e^{-\nu t} h\left(G_s(z)\right) \right)$$
$$= h^{-1} \left(e^{-\nu t} \cdot e^{-\mu s} h(z) \right)$$
$$= h^{-1} \left(e^{-\mu s} h\left(F_t(z)\right) \right) = G_s\left(F_t(z)\right)$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ and we are done.

Now let us assume that g has a boundary null-point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ with $g'(\tau) \geq 0$ (see Remark 1 above). In this case for each $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \neq 0$, Abel's equations

$$p\left(G_s(z)\right) = p(z) + cs$$

and

$$p(F_t(z)) = p(z) + c\alpha t$$

have the same solution

$$p(z) = -c \int_{0}^{z} \frac{d\varsigma}{g(\varsigma)} = -c\alpha \int_{0}^{z} \frac{d\varsigma}{f(\varsigma)},$$

which is univalent on Δ .

Once again we calculate

$$F_t(G_s(z)) = p^{-1}(p(G_s(z)) + c\alpha t) = p^{-1}(p(z) + c\alpha t + cs)$$

= $p^{-1}(p(F_t(z)) + cs) = G_s(F_t(z))$.

The implication $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ is proved.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is obvious.

To verify the equivalence of (iii) and (iv) we just calculate:

$$\Gamma_f(\Gamma_g(h)) = h''gf + h'g'f,$$

$$\Gamma_g(\Gamma_f(h)) = h''fg + h'f'g.$$

Hence, $\Gamma_f \circ \Gamma_g = \Gamma_g \circ \Gamma_f$ if and only if f'g - g'f = 0.

Now, it is clear, that (v) implies (iv).

Finally we prove the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (v). Obviously, (iv) implies that if f has no null points in Δ then g also has no null points in Δ and, hence, (v) follows. If $f(\tau) = 0$ for some $\tau \in \Delta$, then also $g(\tau) = 0$, and by (9) one can write $f(z) = (z - \tau)p(z)$ and $g(z) = (z - \tau)q(z)$, where p and q do not vanish in Δ . Now it follows that

$$[f,g] = (z-\tau)[p,q]$$

Hence, again we have p = aq, and hence f = ag for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3. First we note, that by Theorem 4

$$(38) f = \alpha g, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(i) Let $f'(\tau) = g'(\tau) = 0$. By Proposition 1 f admits the representation

$$f(z) = (z - \tau)(1 - \overline{\tau}z)p(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $p \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{Re } p(z) \geq 0$.

Since $f'(\tau) = (1 - |\tau|^2)p(\tau) = 0$, we have $p(\tau) = 0$ and it follows from the maximum principle that $p \equiv 0$. Hence, $f \equiv 0$ and by (38) also $g \equiv 0$.

Assume now $f'(\tau) = g'(\tau) \neq 0$. Then it follows from (38) that $\alpha = 1$ and so $f \equiv g$.

(ii) In general, by (38) we have $f^{(k)}(\tau) = \alpha g^{(k)}(\tau)$, $0 < k \le m$. Hence, the condition $f^{(k)}(\tau) = g^{(k)}(\tau) \ne 0$ for some $0 < k \le m$ implies that $\alpha = 1$ and, consequently, $f \equiv g$. \square

Let $S_f = \{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the semigroup generated by $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$. The set $\mathcal{Z}(S_f)$ of all semigroups $S = \{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ such that

$$F_t \circ G_s = G_s \circ F_t, \quad t, s > 0,$$

is called the **centralizer of** S_f .

It is clear that for each $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Delta)$ the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S}_f)$ contains $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha f}$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Therefore we will say that the centralizer of S_f is trivial when the inclusion $S \in \mathcal{Z}(S_f)$ implies that $S = S_{\alpha f}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$.

Proposition 1. Let f be the generator of a semigroup $S_f = \{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and let $\tau \in \partial \Delta$ be the Denjoy-Wolff point of S_f . Then if one of the following conditions holds then the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}(S_f)$ is trivial:

- (i) S_f is a hyperbolic type semigroup $(f'(\tau) > 0)$ which is not a group;
- (ii) f admits the expansion

$$f(z) = a(z - \tau)^3 + o\left((z - \tau)^3\right)$$
 with $a \neq 0$

when $z \to \tau$ in each non-tangential approach region at τ .

The first statement is based on the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. Let f and g be generators of two nontrivial (neither f nor g are identically zero) commuting semigroups $S_f = \{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $S_g = \{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, respectively. Then S_f is of hyperbolic type if and only if S_g is. In this case $f = \alpha g$ with real α . Moreover, $\alpha < 0$ implies that S_f and S_g are both groups of hyperbolic automorphisms having 'opposite' fixed points, i.e., the attractive point for S_f is the repelling point for S_g and conversely.

Proof. Since S_f and S_g are commuting then by Theorem 4 there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \alpha g$. In our settings α is not zero. If τ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of S_f then $f(\tau) = 0$ and therefore also $g(\tau) = 0$. Now since $f'(\tau) > 0$ then $g'(\tau) = \frac{1}{\alpha} f'(\tau)$ exists finitely and it must be a real number by Corollary 2. So must be α .

Now let us assume that α is negative. Then $g'(\tau) = \frac{1}{\alpha} f'(\tau) < 0$. Hence the semigroup S_g generated by g must have the Denjoy-Wolff point $\sigma \in \overline{\Delta}$ different from τ .

It is clear that σ cannot be inside Δ since otherwise it must be a common fixed point of both semigroups \mathcal{S}_f and \mathcal{S}_g because of the commuting property.

So, $\sigma \in \partial \Delta$ and $g'(\sigma) \geq 0$ (see [11]), then $f(\sigma) = 0$ and $f'(\sigma) \leq 0$. It follows by a result in [18] that

$$(39) 0 < f'(\tau) \le -f'(\sigma)$$

and the equality is possible if and only if f is the generator of a group of hyperbolic automorphisms. From the same theorem we have the reversed inequality for g

$$0 \le g'(\sigma) \le -g'(\tau)$$

that means

$$0 \le \frac{1}{\alpha} f'(\sigma) \le -\frac{1}{\alpha} f'(\tau).$$

Comparing this inequality with (39) gives us that $f'(\tau) = -f'(\sigma) > 0$ and $g'(\tau) = -g'(\sigma) < 0$ which means that both f and g generate groups of hyperbolic automorphisms with opposite fixed points.

Remark. The last assertion of this lemma follows also by a result of Behan (see [5]). Indeed, let $\alpha < 0$. Then the equality $f(z) = \alpha g(z)$ implies that $g'(\tau)$ exists and is a real negative number. So, the Denjoy-Wolff point τ of the semigroup S_f cannot be the Denjoy-Wolff point of the semigroup S_g . Hence by [5] we conclude that S_f and S_g are groups of hyperbolic automorphisms.

Proof of Proposition 1. The statement (i) is a direct consequence of the previous lemma. To prove the second statement we note that by Theorem 1 the number $a\tau^2$ is a non-negative real number. On the other hand, since \mathcal{S}_f and \mathcal{S}_g commute by Theorem 4 there is a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \alpha g$.

Therefore, since $\alpha \neq 0$ also g admits the expansion

$$g(z) = \frac{a}{\alpha} (z - \tau)^3 + o\left((z - \tau)^3\right)$$

and again by Theorem 1 we have that also $\frac{a}{\alpha}\tau^2 \geq 0$. This implies that α is a nonnegative real number. \square

A natural question which arises in the context of the above theorem is:

• If two elements F_{t_0} and G_{s_0} of semigroups $S_f = \{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $S_g = \{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ commute for some positive t_0 and s_0 , do these semigroup S_f and S_g commute in the sense:

$$F_t \circ G_s = G_s \circ F_t$$

for each pair $t, s \ge 0$?

The answer is immediately affirmative due to a more general result of C. C. Cowen ([9]), Corollary 4.2) if neither F_{t_0} nor G_{s_0} , respectively, are of parabolic type.

The situation becomes more complicated if F_{t_0} , respectively G_{s_0} , are parabolic.

Example 4.4 in [9] shows that there is a triple of such mappings F, G_1 and G_2 in $Hol(\Delta)$ for which G_1 and G_2 commute with F, but they do not commute with each other.

Nevertheless, under some additional requirements on smoothness at the boundary Denjoy-Wolff point repeating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [19] one can give an affirmative answer to the above question. Namely,

• Let F_{t_0} and G_{s_0} be two commuting elements of semigroups S_f and S_g , respectively, $t_0, s_0 > 0$, and let F_{t_0} is of parabolic type with a Denjoy–Wolff point $\tau \in \partial \Delta$. If both F_{t_0} and G_{s_0} belong to the class $C^2(\tau)$ and $F''_{t_0}(\tau)$ as well as $G''_{t_0}(\tau)$ do not vanish, then f = ag for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e., the semigroups S_f and S_g commute:

$$F_t \circ G_s = G_s \circ F_t$$

for all $t, s \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abate, Converging semigroups of holomorphic maps, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 82 (1988), 223–227.
- [2] D. Aharonov, M. Elin, S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Parametric representations of semi-complete vector fields on the open unit balls in \mathbb{C}^n and in Hilbert space, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* **10** (1999), 229–253.
- [3] D. Aharonov, S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Flow invariance conditions for holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **99A** (1999), 93–104.
- [4] L. Aizenberg, S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, One-sided estimates for the existence of null points of holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **203** (1996), 38–54.
- [5] D. Behan, Commuting analytic functions without fixed points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 114–120.

- [6] E. Berkson, H. Porta, Semigroups of analytic functions and composition operators., Michigan Math. J. 25 (1978), 101–115.
- [7] F. Bracci, R. Tauraso, and F. Vlacci, Identity principles for commuting holomorphic self-maps of the unit disk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270** (2002), 451–473.
- [8] M. Burns and S. G. Krantz, Rigidity of holomorphic mappings and a new Schwarz Lemma at the boundary, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1994), 661–676.
- [9] C. C. Cowen, Commuting analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 283 (1984), 685–695.
- [10] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Schroeder's equation in several variables, Taiwanese J. of Math. 7(1) (2003), 129–154.
- [11] M. Elin and D. Shoikhet, Dynamic extension of the Julia–Wolff–Carathéodory Theorem, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **10** (2001), 421–438.
- [12] T. W. Gamelin, Complex Analysis, Springer, 2000.
- [13] L. A. Harris, S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Dissipative holomorphic functions, Bloch radii, and the Schwarz Lemma, *Journal D'Analyse Mathematique* 82 (2000), 221–232.
- [14] S. Migliorini and F. Vlacci, A new rigidity result for holomorphic maps, *Indag. Mathem.*, N.S. **13(4)** (2002), 537–549.
- [15] S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Generation theory for semigroups of holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 1 (1996), 1–44.
- [16] S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Metric domains, holomorphic mappings and nonlinear semigroups, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), 203–228.
- [17] D. Shoikhet, Semigroups in Geometrical Function Theory, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2001.
- [18] D. Shoikhet, Representations of holomorphic generators and distortion theorems for spirallike functions with respect to a boundary point, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **5** (2003), 335–361.
- [19] R. Tauraso, Commuting holomorphic maps of the unit disk, *Ergod. Theory Dynam. Systems* **24** (2004), 945–953.
- [20] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer, 1980.